

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Spring P.T.A. (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Town, State

Maria Hutzgaster, 200
East Hampton NY
11937

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the Lindbergh School PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:


That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,



76 Liberty Pl.

Palisades Pk NJ

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the RIDGEFIELD HIGH SCHOOL PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Braker

521 CHESTNUT ST.

RIDGEFIELD, NJ 07657

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the Lincoln School PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;


That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Anna Steele
213 Riverdale Blvd
Rumson Lakes NJ 07442



5000 Timber Ridge Road • Marietta, Georgia 30068 • 640-4808

PTA

March 14, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
1919 M Street NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554
e-mail address: vchip@fcc.gov

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

RE: CS Docket Number 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

I am writing to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall, conducted by the National PTA, *U.S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper, demonstrate overwhelming parental preference for a rating system that provides information to parents about the content of programs. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on program content information. Any rating system without content descriptions, both on-screen and publicized in TV schedules, is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system meets the statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe that this system does so, and therefore request that the FCC decline to approve the industry rating system as proposed by the TV Rating Implementation Group. Instead, I request the following:

- The FCC should adopt a rating system that includes content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- The FCC require a V-chip band broad enough to allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- The rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- The rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- Any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE



March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Depew School (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name
Town, State

Karen Rodriguez
30 Broadway
East Hampton, New York 11937

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Spring (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U. S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name
Town, State

Liz Egbert
Spring N.Y.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

RECEIVED

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the *Sperry School* (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Town, State

Madeleine Blake
East Hampton, NY

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

BARBARA S. KEIGLER

11600 Mayfair Field Drive Timonium, MD 21093 (410) 561-3344

March 14, 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and 6th district to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I would request the following:

- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That the rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Very truly yours,

Barbara S. Keigler

Barbara S. Keigler

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34


I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Springs School local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,


Your Name

Town, State 3 Church Ln.

East Hampton, NY

11937

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Spring School (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name
Town, State

Jusan McDermott
East Hampton NY

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Spring PTA (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Colleen M. Gowan - Wheeler
Your Name

Town, State

East Haverhill NY
11937

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Spring PTA (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Karen McKee

Your Name

Town, State

East Haverhill
11937

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

TAMMY J. GLICK
15945 COUNTRY LANE EAST
PLATTE CITY, MO 64079

(816) 858-5442
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

March 10, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

RE: CS DOCKET NO. 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:


I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Platte City Middle School PTSA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U.S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:


- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,


Tammy J. Glick

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE



5000 Timber Ridge Road • Marietta, Georgia 30068 • 640-4808

PTA

March 14, 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
1919 M Street NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554
e-mail address: vchip@fcc.gov

RE: CS Docket Number 97-55, FCC 97-34

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

I am writing to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall, conducted by the National PTA, *U.S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper, demonstrate overwhelming parental preference for a rating system that provides information to parents about the content of programs. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on program content information. Any rating system without content descriptions, both on-screen and publicized in TV schedules, is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system meets the statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe that this system does so, and therefore request that the FCC decline to approve the industry rating system as proposed by the TV Rating Implementation Group. Instead, I request the following:

- The FCC should adopt a rating system that includes content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- The FCC require a V-chip band broad enough to allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- The rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- The rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- Any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Melissa Bouchard
Marietta, GA

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE



RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

13700 SW 74th Court
Miami, FL 33158
March 7, 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: CS Docket # 97-55, FCC 97-34

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:


As a 25 year member of PTA, I have actively participated in numerous efforts to make television responsive to and sensitive of the needs of children and youth. I have worked equally to make parents informed consumers who can more effectively monitor the television viewing done by their children. The effort to provide parents with better tools through the V-chip and a rating system is long overdue. Nonetheless, I must join my PTA colleagues in opposing the rating system which has been presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Implementation Group.

The major surveys conducted in the fall of 1996 demonstrate that parents overwhelmingly prefer a rating system that gives them information about the content of programs. Parents can then exercise their proper authority to select the programs they find most appropriate for their children to view. To allow the television industry to evaluate at what age children are ready to view any particular program is intrusive, paternalistic, and ultimately useless.

I urge you to take the following actions.

- Do not approve the industry's rating system of any system which fails to provide content information.
- Require a V-chip band broad enough to all parents to receive more than one rating system.
- Require the rating icon to be more prominently and more frequently displayed.
- Require the rating board to be independent of the TV industry and inclusive of parents.
- Require independent evaluation of any rating system.

Sincerely,



Anne Thompson

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

CABLE SERVICES BUREAU

RECEIVED

MAR 24 1 20 PM '97

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the Wanaque School PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely, Mary Reynolds

105 Conklin Ave. Rd

Wanaque, NJ 07405

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the Midland School #1 to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Dianne Rozkowski Rozkowski

63 Marinus St. Rochelle Park NJ 07662

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the Rotary Council of PTAs to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:


That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,



(Bison?)

10 Sunset Terr

Succasunna NJ 07876

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

March 10, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the local Van Buren Middle School PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;


That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and

That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,


Van Buren, Arkansas

10-12-97, V.E.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

NEW JERSEY PTA

March 13, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW, Rm. 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

APR 2 1997

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commission:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA, the New Jersey PTA and as a member of the HOACE MANLY SCHOOL PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs where conducted by the National PTA, U.S. NEWS and WORLD REPORT, and the Media Studies Ctr./Roper. Parents do not want the TV Industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, I request the following:

That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for Violence, S for Sexual depiction and nudity and L for Language;

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system. Further, that the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents. Further, that any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Louise Beaubuss

36 E4051

BAYONNE, N.J.

GEANBUSS
LOUISE?

07002

900 Berkeley Avenue • Trenton, New Jersey 08618
609-393-6709 Fax 609-393-8471

No. of Copies rec'd
01/10/02

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the James PTA (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Town, State

Reed Hundt
Reed Hundt
38 Grant Avenue
East Hampton NY 11937

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the *Spurysdahl* (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U. S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Your Name
Town, State

Deborah Schiff
110 Harbor Blvd
East Hampton, NY
11937

DEBORAH SCHIFF?

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the EAST Islip ^{PTA} ^{N.Y.} (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);

That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;

That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;

That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and

That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Fazio
our Name

own, State Islip Terrace, N.Y.

EAST ISLIP SCHOOLS - E.C.C.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 2 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am (we are) writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Springs PTA (local, council, district, or state PTA) to voice my (our) opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, *U. S. News and World Report*, and Media Studies Center/Roper. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

The FCC, by law, is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I (we) do not believe this system does so and ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. Instead, we request the following:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve the industry's rating system. Further, the FCC should accept no rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V (for violence), S (for sexual depiction and nudity) and L (for language);
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough that would allow parents to receive more than one rating system;
- That the rating icon on the TV screen be made larger, more prominently placed on the screen, and appear more frequently during the course of a program;
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and the FCC and that it include parents; and
- That any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets the needs of parents.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,

Maria Goncalves

Your Name

Maria Goncalves

Town, State

East Hampton, NY

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABOVE